


Appendix to the AFCARS 2010 Foster Care File User's Guide

**NDACAN Dataset Number 163
Version 1**



National Data Archive on Child Abuse and Neglect

Family Life Development Center

Cornell University

Ithaca, New York 14853-4401

607-255-7799

ndacan@cornell.edu

www.ndacan.cornell.edu

INITIAL RELEASE: SEPTEMBER 15, 2011

AFCARS User's Guide Foster Care File Annual Supplement, 2010

**NDACAN Dataset Number 163
Version 1**

Data Provided by

Children's Bureau
Administration on Children, Youth and Families
330 C Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20204

Funded by

Children's Bureau
Administration on Children, Youth and Families
Administration for Children and Families
United States Department of Health and Human Services

Distributed by

National Data Archive on Child Abuse and Neglect

Supplement Written by

National Data Archive on Child Abuse and Neglect

©2011 National Data Archive on Child Abuse and Neglect

PREFACE

The year 2010 data for the *Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System (AFCARS)* have been given to the National Data Archive on Child Abuse and Neglect for public distribution by the Children's Bureau. Funding for the project was provided by the Children's Bureau, Administration on Children, Youth and Families, Administration for Children and Families, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. This document contains supplementary information that applies specifically to the 2010 AFCARS Foster Care data, version 1.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgement of Source	1
Publication Submission Requirement	1
Purpose of the 2010 User's Guide Supplement.....	2
History of State Foster Care Submissions to AFCARS	3
Foster Care Unduplicated Record Counts by State.....	5
County FIPS Codes in the 2010 v1 Foster Care File.....	6
Foster Care State Footnotes	8

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF SOURCE

Authors should acknowledge the National Data Archive on Child Abuse and Neglect and the original collector of the data when they publish manuscripts that use data provided by NDACAN. Users of these data are urged to follow some adaptation of the statement below.

The data used in this publication were made available by the National Data Archive on Child Abuse and Neglect, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, and have been used with permission. Data from the *Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System (AFCARS)* were originally collected by the Children's Bureau. Funding for the project was provided by the Children's Bureau, Administration on Children, Youth and Families, Administration for Children and Families, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The collector of the original data, the funder, NDACAN, Cornell University and their agents or employees bear no responsibility for the analyses or interpretations presented here.

PUBLICATIONS SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with the *Terms of Use Agreement* for these datasets, users of these data are required to deposit a copy of any published work or report based wholly or in part on these data with NDACAN. A copy of any completed manuscript, thesis abstract, or reprint should be sent to the National Data Archive on Child Abuse and Neglect, Cornell University, Family Life Development Center, Beebe Hall, Ithaca, New York 14853. Such copies will be used to provide funding agencies with essential information about the use of NDACAN resources and to facilitate the exchange of information about research activities among data users and contributors.

PURPOSE OF THE 2010 USER'S GUIDE SUPPLEMENT

The AFCARS User's Guide Annual Supplement, 2010 is intended for use with the Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System (AFCARS) User's Guide and Codebook. The User's Guide contains a general overview of the AFCARS project and detailed descriptions of the variables contained in the adoption and foster care files. This Supplement contains information specific to the 2010 AFCARS Foster Care dataset, FC2010v1. This document contains:

- a table specifying which states submitted data in the years 1995 through 2010
- a count of the number of records submitted by each state for the 2010 v1files
- a listing of the geographic FIPS codes for counties that had 1000 or more cases in the 2010 v1 foster care dataset
- explanatory footnotes provided by the states

HISTORY OF STATE FOSTER CARE SUBMISSIONS TO AFCARS

By AFCARS regulation, states were required to submit AFCARS data beginning in 1995. It was not until 1998, however, that penalties established by the same regulation became applicable. Data submitted prior to 1998 were often incomplete. The table below indicates the years in which individual states submitted data. Please note that a black dot in a cell below does not imply that a state's data file was complete; some state files contained very few records. The number of records in each state's files is contained in the *State Records Counts Table* for the year. 2001 was the first year that all states (including Puerto Rico and the District of Columbia) submitted data. Since then, all states have submitted data each year.

STATES THAT SUBMITTED FOSTER CARE DATA

STATE	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	SINCE 2001
Alabama				•	•	•	•
Alaska	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Arizona	•			•	•	•	•
Arkansas		•		•	•	•	•
California	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Colorado		•	•	•	•	•	•
Connecticut				•	•	•	•
Delaware				•	•	•	•
District of Columbia		•		•	•	•	•
Florida		•	•	•	•	•	•
Georgia			•	•	•	•	•
Hawaii			•	•	•	•	•
Idaho	•			•	•	•	•
Illinois	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Indiana				•	•	•	•
Iowa				•	•	•	•
Kansas				•	•	•	•
Kentucky	•				•	•	•
Louisiana			•	•	•	•	•
Maine		•	•	•	•	•	•
Maryland			•	•	•	•	•
Massachusetts	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Michigan				•	•	•	•
Minnesota				•	•	•	•
Mississippi		•		•	•	•	•
Missouri				•	•	•	•
Montana			•	•	•	•	•
Nebraska					•	•	•
Nevada						•	•

STATE	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	SINCE 2001
New Hampshire					●	●	●
New Jersey	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
New Mexico	●			●	●	●	●
New York				●	●	●	●
North Carolina		●	●	●	●	●	●
North Dakota			●	●	●	●	●
Ohio	●				●	●	●
Oklahoma			●	●	●	●	●
Oregon				●	●	●	●
Pennsylvania				●	●	●	●
Rhode Island	●	●		●	●	●	●
South Carolina	●	●		●	●	●	●
South Dakota					●	●	●
Tennessee					●	●	●
Texas				●	●	●	●
Utah	●	●		●	●	●	●
Vermont	●	●	●	●	●	●	●
Virginia				●	●	●	●
Washington		●	●	●	●	●	●
West Virginia				●	●	●	●
Wisconsin				●	●	●	●
Wyoming				●	●	●	●
Puerto Rico				●	●		●

2010 FOSTER CARE UNDUPLICATED RECORD COUNTS

States submit data to AFCARS twice a year; the first reporting period ends April 30 and the second September 30. Prior to each annual release, the Children's Bureau combines submissions for the two reporting periods and removes duplicate records. The table that follows lists the number of records contained in the unduplicated annual 2010 files by state.

2010 v1 STATE FOSTER CARE RECORD COUNTS

<i>FIPS</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Records</i>
01	Alabama	8,120
02	Alaska	2,722
04	Arizona	17,110
05	Arkansas	7,481
06	California	91,221
08	Colorado	12,866
09	Connecticut	7,114
10	Delaware	1,194
11	District of Columbia	2,834
12	Florida	33,289
13	Georgia	13,333
15	Hawaii	2,463
16	Idaho	2,797
17	Illinois	22,525
18	Indiana	21,159
19	Iowa	11,036
20	Kansas	9,152
21	Kentucky	12,115
22	Louisiana	8,101
23	Maine	2,387
24	Maryland	9,747
25	Massachusetts	14,918
26	Michigan	25,716
27	Minnesota	10,747
28	Mississippi	5,887
29	Missouri	14,914
30	Montana	2,614
31	Nebraska	8,552
32	Nevada	7,639
33	New Hampshire	1,368
34	New Jersey	12,121
35	New Mexico	3,716
36	New York	40,026
37	North Carolina	13,607
38	North Dakota	1,913
39	Ohio	20,873
40	Oklahoma	13,067

<i>FIPS</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Records</i>
41	Oregon	13,263
42	Pennsylvania	26,101
44	Rhode Island	3,466
45	South Carolina	8,288
46	South Dakota	2,892
47	Tennessee	12,775
48	Texas	42,656
49	Utah	4,928
50	Vermont	1,586
51	Virginia	8,578
53	Washington	16,077
54	West Virginia	7,068
55	Wisconsin	11,042
56	Wyoming	2,008
72	Puerto Rico	5,368
Total Records		662,540

IDENTIFIED FIPS CODES IN THE 2010 V1 FOSTER CARE FILE

Below is a listing of the geographic Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) codes for "state subdivisions" (usually counties) included in the dataset. For counties with fewer than 1,000 records the FIPS code is not provided, and a value of 8 (*Not provided for reasons of confidentiality*) is assigned.

FIPS	County	N
01073	Jefferson, AL	1,516
02020	Anchorage, AK	1,010
04013	Maricopa, AZ	9,625
04019	Pima, AZ	4,442
05119	Pulaski, AR	1,031
06001	Alameda, CA	2,778
06007	Butte, CA	1,170
06013	Contra Costa, CA	1,516
06019	Fresno, CA	3,441
06029	Kern, CA	3,216
06037	Los Angeles, CA	31,050
06047	Merced, CA	1,027
06059	Orange, CA	4,047
06065	Riverside, CA	6,321
06067	Sacramento, CA	4,858
06071	San Bernardino, CA	5,126
06073	San Diego, CA	6,168
06075	San Francisco, CA	1,923
06077	San Joaquin, CA	1,800
06085	Santa Clara, CA	2,168
06107	Tulare, CA	1,276
08001	Adams, CO	1,243
08005	Arapahoe, CO	1,324
08031	Denver, CO	2,805
08041	El Paso, CO	1,602
08059	Jefferson, CO	1,069
09003	Hartford, CT	1,794
09009	New Haven, CT	2,059
11001	District of Columbia	2,834
12011	Broward, FL	2,357
12031	Duval, FL	1,488
12057	Hillsborough, FL	3,193
12086	Miami-Dade, FL	2,791
12095	Orange, FL	1,706
12099	Palm Beach, FL	1,649
12101	Pasco, FL	1,085
12103	Pinellas, FL	2,292
12105	Polk, FL	1,432
12127	Volusia, FL	1,169
13121	Fulton, GA	1,473

FIPS	County	N
15003	Honolulu, HI	1,584
17031	Cook, IL	8,885
17143	Peoria, IL	1,968
17163	St. Clair, IL	1,168
17201	Winnebago, IL	1,300
18003	Allen, IN	1,195
18089	Lake, IN	2,579
18097	Marion, IN	4,279
18141	St. Joseph, IN	1,074
18163	Vanderburgh, IN	1,065
19153	Polk, IA	1,444
20173	Sedgwick, KS	1,630
20177	Shawnee, KS	1,298
21111	Jefferson, KY	1,516
24510	Baltimore City, MD	5,388
25005	Bristol, MA	1,944
25009	Essex, MA	1,656
25013	Hampden, MA	2,156
25017	Middlesex, MA	2,272
25025	Suffolk, MA	1,704
25027	Worcester, MA	2,243
26049	Genesee, MI	1,646
26081	Kent, MI	1,366
26099	Macomb, MI	1,677
26125	Oakland, MI	1,455
26163	Wayne, MI	8,457
27053	Hennepin, MN	2,196
27123	Ramsey, MN	1,374
29077	Greene, MO	1,155
29095	Jackson, MO	2,063
29189	St. Louis, MO	1,273
29510	St. Louis City, MO	1,176
31055	Douglas, NE	3,048
31109	Lancaster, NE	1,632
32003	Clark, NV	5,542
32031	Washoe, NV	1,426
34007	Camden, NJ	1,339
34013	Essex, NJ	2,114
36029	Erie, NY	1,394
36055	Monroe, NY	1,059

FIPS	County	N
36061	New York, NY	25,115
36103	Suffolk, NY	1,209
36119	Westchester, NY	1,132
37119	Mecklenburg, NC	1,216
39035	Cuyahoga, OH	2,574
39049	Franklin, OH	3,720
39061	Hamilton, OH	1,979
39113	Montgomery, OH	1,207
39153	Summit, OH	1,582
40109	Oklahoma, OK	4,153
40143	Tulsa, OK	1,814
41039	Lane, OR	1,726
41047	Marion, OR	1,680
41051	Multnomah, OR	2,908
41067	Washington, OR	1,170
42003	Allegheny, PA	3,138
42101	Philadelphia, PA	8,240

FIPS	County	N
44007	Providence, RI	2,410
45045	Greenville, SC	1,172
47157	Shelby, TN	1,837
48029	Bexar, TX	4,616
48113	Dallas, TX	3,267
48201	Harris, TX	7,486
48439	Tarrant, TX	2,096
48453	Travis, TX	1,191
49035	Salt Lake, UT	1,789
53011	Clark, WA	1,045
53033	King, WA	2,559
53053	Pierce, WA	2,493
53061	Snohomish, WA	1,547
53063	Spokane, WA	1,569
55079	Milwaukee, WI	3,553
N Counties		112

2010 FOSTER CARE STATE FOOTNOTES

States are encouraged, but not required, to provide explanatory footnotes along with their data submissions. The footnotes allow states to provide additional information they consider necessary for proper interpretation of their data. The footnotes may include such information as:

- general characteristics of the state's foster care system
- characteristics of the population, including whether certain types of placements are included
- state definitions that differ from federal AFCARS definitions.

Footnotes for the 2010 versions of the foster care data follow, sorted by element number and state. General state footnotes that are not specific to an element or period are given an element number of 00.

NDACAN strongly recommends that users review the 2010 footnotes that follow before attempting to analyze the AFCARS Foster Care data.

Foster Care 2010 version 1: Footnotes		
<i>Element</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Note</i>
F00	Georgia	report from the ACF as guidance on how to proceed.
F00	Georgia	Poor quality on various elements in the foster care AFCARS file were discussed in the NRC Technical Assistance in February 2010. We are awaiting a final
F00	Hawaii	THIS WAS DUE TO AN ERROR IN OUR FOSTER CARE EXTRACT PROGRAM THAT INCORRECTLY MAPPED THE CIRCUMSTANCE OF REMOVAL FIELDS IN OUR DATABASE TO THE FIELDS ON THE AFCARS FOSTER CARE EXTRACT RECORD.
F00	Hawaii	THIS CREATED A TOTALLY ERRONEOUS REPORT OF WHAT WERE THE ACTUAL CIRCUMSTANCES LEADING TO THE REMOVAL OF THE CHILD AND AS SUCH WILL SKEW ANY STATISTICAL ANALYSIS THAT MAY BE DONE USING THESE FIELDS.
F00	Hawaii	THESE RETRANSMISSIONS WILL ALSO AFFECT ELEMENTS 18,19,23,24 AND 41 DUE TO A CHANGE TO NO LONGER REPORT ON REMOVALS OR PLACEMENTS OF ONE CALENDAR DAY.
F00	Hawaii	AFCARS FOSTER CARE RESUBMISSIONS FOR FFY01 TO FFY04 AND FFY07B ARE BEING RETRANSMITTED TO INCLUDE THE REVISED LOGIC FOR EXTRACTING REMOVAL EPISODES FOR CHILDREN IN HOSPITAL/DETENTION/INCARCERATION AT BEGINNING OF THE DHS PLACEMENT
F00	Hawaii	RESPONSIBILITY EPISODE AS WELL AS SETTING THE DISHCARGE DATEWHEN THE CHILD IS PLACED WITH A NON-CUSTODIAL LEGAL PARENT.

Foster Care 2010 version 1: Footnotes		
<i>Element</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Note</i>
F00	Maryland	State transitioned to full SACWIS system data extraction for 10A submission period, therefore, there may be inconsistencies in the foster care population between 09B and 10A.
F00	Maryland	State will be resubmitting the 10B file with improved data compliance for Foster Care Elements 11 through 15 in early 2011.
F00	Michigan	APPROXIMATELY 10% OF THE STATE'S SUBSTITUTE CARE POPULATION INCLUDES CHILDREN IN THE JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM.
F00	Michigan	THE STATE HAS CEASED REPORTING ON OUR JUVENILE JUSTICE POPULATION THAT IS EXCLUSIVELY PLACED IN SECURE RESIDENTIAL SETTINGS.
F00	Nebraska	APPROXIMATELY 14% OF THE STATE'S SUBSTITUTE CARE POPULATION INCLUDES CHILDREN IN THE JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM.
F00	Pennsylvania	-00 Number of shared case management with Juvenile Justice System: 1,474.
F00	Pennsylvania	-01 Four counties are submitting out of a new system, counties have correct data after data conversion.
F00	Pennsylvania	-00 Number of shared case management with Juvenile Justice System: 1,380.
F00	Pennsylvania	This Subsequent Foster Care file submission is for the purpose of addressing "dropped cases" and future CFSR data profile analysis.
F00	Utah	DJS provided the last 119 FC records.
F00	Utah	There are a total of 148 records provided by Division of Juvenile Justice System.
F00	Washington	After the WA SACWIS conversion in 2009, approx. 667 records dropped between the 2009b and 2010a AFCARS files resulting from on-going data clean up and a correction to the batch logic excludes adults and persons who were not in care
F03	Iowa	Adoption workers cover more than one county. Therefore, foster children awaiting adoption appear to be from a limited number of counties.
F03	Nebraska	THE FOLLOWING OFFICES DEAL WITH MORE CASES THAN JUST THOSE IN THEIR COUNTY: ALLIANCE, SIDNEY, NORTH PLATTE, MCCOOK, LEXINGTON, BROKEN BOW, O'NEILL, DAKOTA CITY, COLUMBUS, NORFOLK, SEWARD, NEBRASKA CITY.
F04	Georgia	The 2010 A file populations will indicate that there are 167 dropouts from the 2009 B file. The childIDs were re-encrypted and given new ids during the 2009 B period submission. Therefore we will re-submit the 2009 B file to provide continuity for the 167 children.
F04	Iowa	Record numbers are encrypted in data submission.
F04	Nebraska	RECORD NUMBERS IN DATA SET ARE ENCRYPTED STATE IDENTIFIERS.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 001026252279 was reported as 004620287228 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.

Foster Care 2010 version 1: Footnotes		
<i>Element</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Note</i>
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 009122267270 was reported as 009224257245 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In the 9B period, Record Number 004423246208 should not have been reported. Removal was entered in error. The child was never removed.
F04	Oklahoma	In the 9B period, Record Number 000522296241 should not have been reported. Removal was entered in error. The child was in Tribal Custody and in a non-paid placement.
F04	Oklahoma	In the 9B period, Record Number 004524286241 should not have been reported. Removal was entered in error. The child was in Tribal Custody and in a non-paid placement.
F04	Oklahoma	In the 9B period, Record Number 009324287285 should not have been reported. Removal was entered in error. The child was never removed.
F04	Oklahoma	In the 9B period, Record Number 001621267202 should not have been reported. The only placement is Trial Reunification.
F04	Oklahoma	In the 9B period, Record Number 001621207202 should not have been reported. The only placement is Trial Reunification.
F04	Oklahoma	In the 9B period, Record Number 002029252212 should not have been reported. The removal episode lasted less than 24 hours.
F04	Oklahoma	In the 9B period, Record Number 003327272295 was incorrect. The record number in 10A is 002924200213.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 002022210256 was reported as 003428256204 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 002423260285 was reported as 005727266202 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 007727200267 was reported as 009128226249 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 002820237272 was reported as 004522297205 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 002429207232 was reported as 001426287234 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 001928237214 was reported as 000622274220 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.

Foster Care 2010 version 1: Footnotes		
<i>Element</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Note</i>
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 004628287233 was reported as 004928224243 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 000624217239 was reported as 004923294209 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 007929274266 was reported as 002522224265 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 007728237245 was reported as 007628254292 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 003522234298 was reported as 006421224285 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 009625272235 was reported as 008422204217 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 006725274218 was reported as 008426274259 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 002227294246 was reported as 006622224259 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 006725244262 was reported as 002128294251 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 008126274234 was reported as 007726240249 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 002929297232 was reported as 001729280241 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 006429274284 was reported as 005427210263 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 003323214227 was reported as 003224200294 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.

Foster Care 2010 version 1: Footnotes		
<i>Element</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Note</i>
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 002327247293 was reported as 002126270280 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 003327260286 was reported as 006222290214 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 000425202250 was reported as 004725270217 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 008224257218 was reported as 006029240256 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 008627294229 was reported as 007029270253 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 000424220232 was reported as 004020210234 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 002321257244 was reported as 003422226271 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 001022260218 was reported as 008828256276 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 007224280272 was reported as 008821226276 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 007520217271 was reported as 001025286247 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 006128236278 was reported as 007027276249 in the 09B period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 005328202264 was reported as 003125212251 in the 10A period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 009326272252 was reported as 004926217297 in the 10A period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.

Foster Care 2010 version 1: Footnotes		
<i>Element</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Note</i>
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 009029267270 was reported as 003726204252 in the 10A period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 005323207202 was reported as 008428210279 in the 10A period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 009622280287 was reported as 005425266245 in the 10A period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 003423206272 was reported as 009325226240 in the 10A period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 001920207231 was reported as 004428286264 in the 10A period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Oklahoma	In this period, the row where Record Number is 008021246260 was reported as 002324206264 in the 10A period, due to Client Merge where we always retain the earliest client ID.
F04	Pennsylvania	-00 There were approximately 385 record number changes from the previous report period to the 2010/03 report period.
F04	Pennsylvania	-01 There were approximately 38 additional dropped records from the previous report period to the 2010/03 report period.
F04	Pennsylvania	-00 There were approximately 503 record number changes from the previous report period to the 2010/09 report period.
F04	Pennsylvania	-01 There were approximately 206 additional dropped records from the previous report period to the 2010/09 report period.
F05	Florida	EXCLUDES CHILDREN WHOSE MOST RECENT REVIEW IS AFTER THEIR DISCHARGE DATE.
F05	Florida	THIS REPORT MAY NOT INCLUDE DISPOSITIONAL HEARINGS THAT MAY ALSO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF A JUDICIAL REVIEW.
F05	Florida	IMPLEMENTATION OF SACWSIS HAS IMPACTED TIMELY ENTRY OF THIS DATA. BIWEEKLY EXCEPTION REPORTS BEING PROVIDED TO FELD STAFF TO IMPROVE QUALITY OF DATA.
F05	Iowa	Iowa Citizen Foster Care Review Board Reviews, Court Reviews, and DHS Administrative Reviews are shown in element 5.
F05	Maryland	DQU results are being analyzed to help resolve the internal consistency errors that are causing this element to exceed the 10% tolerance level.
F05	Nebraska	ANOTHER STATE AGENCY, FOSTER CARE REVIEW BOARD, IS MANDATED BY STATE LAW TO CONDUCT THE PERIODIC REVIEW ON ALL CHILDREN IN OUT OF HOME PLACEMENT FOR 6 MONTHS OR GREATER.

Foster Care 2010 version 1: Footnotes		
<i>Element</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Note</i>
F06	Iowa	A date of birth is required. We do not estimate date of birth.
F06	Michigan	CHILDREN IDENTIFIED AS 18 YEARS OR OLDER ARE STILL WARDS OF THE STATE AND ARE CONSIDERED DEPENDENT CHILDREN.
F06	Nebraska	ESTIMATED BIRTH DATE IS JANUARY 1 OF THE ESTIMATED YEAR.??
F06	Nevada	Cleanup effort in place so that children 18 or older who are not in school are not reported.
F08	Nebraska	UNABLE TO DETERMINE INCLUDES PERSONS WITH RACE INDICATED AS OTHER.
F10	Iowa	A clinical diagnosis is required by a qualified professional.
F10	Nebraska	CLINICAL DIAGNOSIS IS REQUIRED.
F11	Maryland	SACWIS system updates to improve future submissions were made at the end of the report period thus time restrictions limited the state's ability to complete data cleanup therefore 20% of the records are blank.
F12	Maryland	SACWIS system updates to improve future submissions were made at the end of the report period thus time restrictions limited the state's ability to complete data cleanup therefore 20% of the records are blank.
F13	Maryland	SACWIS system updates to improve future submissions were made at the end of the report period thus time restrictions limited the state's ability to complete data cleanup therefore 20% of the records are blank.
F13	Nebraska	INCLUDES CHILDREN WHO ARE MEDICALLY FRAGILE AND/OR NEEDING MEDICAL EQUIPMENT AND/OR WHEELCHAIR.
F14	Iowa	DSM III diagnostic criteria is used in this data element.
F14	Maryland	SACWIS system updates to improve future submissions were made at the end of the report period thus time restrictions limited the state's ability to complete data cleanup therefore 20% of the records are blank.
F15	Maryland	SACWIS system updates to improve future submissions were made at the end of the report period thus time restrictions limited the state's ability to complete data cleanup therefore 20% of the records are blank.
F15	Nebraska	INCLUDES CHILDREN WITH ATTENTION DEFICIT DISORDER AND/OR IN INFECTIOUS DISEASES.
F16	Nebraska	DATE WILL BE KNOWN IF DEPARTMENT HAS BEEN INVOLVED WITH THE ADOPTION.
F17	Nebraska	AGE WILL BE KNOWN IF DEPARTMENT HAS BEEN INVOLVED WITH THE ADOPTION.
F18	Hawaii	SOME OF THESE WERE NOT ACTUAL REMOVALS BECAUSE THEY WERE LESS THAN 24 HOURS LONG BUT SPANNED TWO CALENDAR DAYS.
F19	Hawaii	WE ARE NO LONGER REPORTING ONE CALENDAR DAY EPISODES.
F19	Hawaii	SOME OF THESE SHOULD NOT BE COUNTED AS PRIOR REMOVALS BECAUSE THEY WERE LESS THAN 24 HOURS LONG BUT SPANNED TWO CALENDAR DAYS.

Foster Care 2010 version 1: Footnotes		
<i>Element</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Note</i>
F19	Iowa	For every foster care service with an exit date and 1)exit reason of return home or 2)exit reason of transfer custody to other parent, or 3) an exit reason of placement with a suitable person, counts as one removal.
F19	Nebraska	STATE DID NOT MANDATE THAT HISTORICAL DATA BE CONVERTED. IF A REMOVAL OCCURRED PRIOR TO DECEMBER 1998, IT MAY NOT HAVE BEEN RECORDED IN THE NEW SYSTEM.
F22	Nebraska	STATE HAS CAPACITY TO GENERATE THE TRANSACTION DATE FOR LATEST REMOVAL FROM HOME.
F23	Hawaii	PLACEMENT DATES MAY VARY FROM THOSE PREVIOUSLY REPORTED AS WE ARE NO LONGER REPORTING ON ONE CALENDAR PLACEMENTS. RUNAWAY WILL NOW BE REPORTED AS THE DATE THE CHILD RAN AWAY.
F23	Indiana	70 of these are court-ordered removals from the custodial parent who were ordered 'placed' with the non-custodial parent immediately upon removal. The remainder of these are children placed directly upon removal in some other non-foster c
F24	Florida	SOME RECORDS SHOW ZERO PLACEMENTS BECAUSE THEIR INITIAL AND ONLY PLACEMENT IS A HOSPITAL, DJJ FACILITY, OR RUNAWAY.
F24	Florida	FLORIDA DOES NOT EXCLUDE PLACEMENTS OF LESS THAN 24 HOURS DURATION FROM PLACEMENT COUNT UNLESS PLACEMENT TYPE WOULD OTHERWISE BE EXCLUDED FROM THE COUNT.
F24	Hawaii	WE ARE NO LONGER REPORTING ONE CALENDAR DAY PLACEMENTS
F24	Hawaii	SOME OF THESE SHOULD NOT BE COUNTED AS PLACEMENT SETTINGS BECAUSE THEY WERE LESS THAN 24 HOURS LONG BUT SPANNED TWO CALENDAR DAYS.
F24	Iowa	Data reflects same day in/out placements, emergency placements respite, day treatment and other short term placements.
F24	Nebraska	STATE DID NOT MANDATE THAT HISTORICAL DATA BE CONVERTED. IF PLACEMENTS OCCURRED PRIOR TO DECEMBER 1998, IT MAY NOT HAVE BEEN RECORDED IN THE NEW SYSTEM.
F26	Iowa	Iowa defines physical abuse as damage to any bodily tissue that must undergo a healing process or results in death.
F27	Iowa	Iowa defines sexual abuse as commission of sexual offenses with or to a child as a result of acts or omissions of a caretaker.
F28	Iowa	Failure of caretaker to provide adequate food, shelter, clothing or other care necessary for child's health & welfare when financially able: health/mental health care, emotional needs, proper supervision & response to infant's survival.
F31	Hawaii	THIS FIELD WAS PREVIOUSLY BEING SET TO '1' ERRONEOUSLY WHEN THE CIRCUMSTANCE OF REMOVAL WAS ACTUALLY CHILD BEHAVIORAL PROBLEM (ELEMENT 34).

Foster Care 2010 version 1: Footnotes		
<i>Element</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Note</i>
F32	Hawaii	THIS FIELD WAS PREVIOUSLY BEING SET TO '1' ERRONEOUSLY WHEN THE CIRCUMSTANCE OF REMOVAL WAS ACTUALLY RELINQUISHMENT (ELEMENT 39).
F33	Hawaii	THIS FIELD WAS PREVIOUSLY BEING SET TO '1' ERRONEOUSLY WHEN THE CIRCUMSTANCE OF REMOVAL WAS ACTUALLY INADEQUATE HOUSING (ELEMENT 40).
F34	Hawaii	THIS FIELD WAS PREVIOUSLY BEING SET TO '1' ERRONEOUSLY WHEN THE CIRCUMSTANCE OF REMOVAL WAS ACTUALLY DEATH OF PARENT (ELEMENT 35).
F34	Indiana	As reported in our recent AIP, we removed the default to FC34, Child's Behavior Problem when no other reason for removal was selected. As expected, this has caused internal consistency errors. We will advise the field regarding this issue
F35	Hawaii	THIS FIELD WAS PREVIOUSLY BEING SET TO '1' ERRONEOUSLY WHEN THE CIRCUMSTANCE OF REMOVAL WAS ACTUALLY INCARCERATION OF PARENT (ELEMENT 36).
F36	Hawaii	THIS FIELD WAS PREVIOUSLY BEING SET TO '1' ERRONEOUSLY WHEN THE CIRCUMSTANCE OF REMOVAL WAS ACTUALLY CARETAKER INABILITY TO COPE (ELEMENT 37).
F37	Hawaii	THIS FIELD WAS PREVIOUSLY BEING SET TO '1' ERRONEOUSLY WHEN THE CIRCUMSTANCE OF REMOVAL WAS ACTUALLY CHILD ALCOHOL ABUSE (ELEMENT 31).
F38	Hawaii	THIS FIELD WAS PREVIOUSLY BEING SET TO '1' ERRONEOUSLY WHEN THE CIRCUMSTANCE OF REMOVAL WAS ACTUALLY CHILD DRUG ABUSE (ELEMENT 32).
F38	Hawaii	WAS CONFIRMED. CASE #73348 CLIENT #87463
F38	Hawaii	13 YR OLD MALE DIED DUE TO COMPLICATIONS OF POLY-GLANDULAR AUTOIMMUNE SYSTEM AND ACUTE APPENDICITIS. YOUTH WAS ON THE RUN FROM PSYCHIATRIC FACILITY AND STAYING WITH HIS GRANDMOTHER WHO FAILED TO REPORT THAT HE WAS WITH HER. MEDICAL NEGLECT
F39	Hawaii	THIS FIELD WAS PREVIOUSLY BEING SET TO '1' ERRONEOUSLY WHEN THE CIRCUMSTANCE OF REMOVAL WAS ACTUALLY CHILD DISABILITY (ELEMENT 33).
F40	Hawaii	THIS FIELD WAS PREVIOUSLY BEING SET TO '1' ERRONEOUSLY WHEN THE CIRCUMSTANCE OF REMOVAL WAS ACTUALLY ABANDONMENT (ELEMENT 38).
F41	Florida	FLORIDA IS NOT A TRIAL HOME VISIT STATE.
F41	Hawaii	HAWAII IS NO LONGER REPORTING TRIAL HOME VISITS BECAUSE HAWAII'S CHILD PROTECTIVE ACT HRS 587 DOES NOT ALLOW TRIAL HOME VISITS UP TO 6 MONTHS AS IS ALLOWED FOR AFCARS.
F41	Iowa	Juvenile Justice population is included. Categories include: foster family, group care, relative, independent living; psychiatric medical institution for children, shelter, structured juvenile program, & medical institution.

Foster Care 2010 version 1: Footnotes		
<i>Element</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Note</i>
F41	Nebraska	SUPERVISED INDEPENDENT LIVING INCLUDES BOARDING HOMES, DOMICILLIARY FACILITIES AND INDEPENDENT LIVING SITUATIONS.
F41	Nebraska	GROUP HOME INCLUDES GROUP HOMES FOR CHILDREN, TREATMENT GROUP HOMES, EMERGENCY SHELTER CENTERS, AND GROUP HOME FOR ADULTS.
F41	Nebraska	INSTITUTION INCLUDES CENTRES FOR DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED, CHILD CARING AGENCIES, RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT FACILITIES, MEDICAL HOSPITALS, PSYCHIATRIC HOSPITALS, JAILS, YOUTH LOCKED FACILITIES, NURSING HOMES, AND SCHOOLS.
F41	Nebraska	APPROVED OR UNLICENSED RELATIVE HOMES ARE INCLUDED IN RELATIVE FOSTER HOMES.
F43	Nebraska	REUNIFY WITH PARENT OR PRINCIPAL CARETAKER INCLUDES CASE PLAN GOALS OF FAMILY PRESERVATION AND REUNIFICATION.
F43	Nebraska	EMANCIPATION INCLUDES CASE PLAN GOALS OF INDEPENDENT LIVING AND SELF SUFFICIENCY.
F43	Nebraska	STATE POLICY DOES NOT DEFINE "LIVE WITH OTHER RELATIVES" AS A CASE PLAN GOAL.
F45	Michigan	IN SOME CASES WHEN THE EXACT YEAR OF BIRTH WAS UNKNOWN AN ESTIMATED YEAR WAS ENTERED.
F46	Michigan	IN SOME CASES WHEN THE EXACT YEAR OF BIRTH WAS UNKNOWN AN ESTIMATED YEAR WAS ENTERED.
F46	Michigan	IN SOME CASES WHEN THE EXACT YEAR OF BIRTH WAS UNKNOWN AN ESTIMATED YEAR WAS ENTERED.
F47	Montana	Customary adoptions through tribal courts require a tribal court to have legal authority over the child and modify the custodial and legal relationship of the birth parents with the child but do not terminate the birth parent's rights.
F47	Oklahoma	For Record Number 009424257242 Termination of parental rights vacated by the court.
F47	Oklahoma	For Record Number 009424217242 Termination of parental rights vacated by the court.
F48	Montana	Customary adoptions through tribal courts require a tribal court to have legal authority over the child and modify the custodial and legal relationship of the birth parents with the child but do not terminate the birth parent's rights.
F48	Oklahoma	For Record Number 007828244242 Termination of parental rights vacated by the court.
F48	Oklahoma	For Record Number 009424217242 Termination of parental rights vacated by the court.
F48	Oklahoma	For Record Number 009424257242 Termination of parental rights vacated by the court.
F48	Oklahoma	For Record Number 004226252237 Termination of parental rights vacated by the court.

Foster Care 2010 version 1: Footnotes		
<i>Element</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Note</i>
F52	Nebraska	UNABLE TO DETERMINE INCLUDES PERSONS WITH RACE INDICATED AS OTHER
F53	Nebraska	UNABLE TO DETERMINE INCLUDES PERSONS WITH RACE INDICATED AS OTHER
F57	Nebraska	STATE HAS CAPACITY TO GENERATE THE TRANSACTION DATE FOR DISCHARGE FROM FOSTER CARE.
F58	Iowa	Placement with a suitable person includes placement with a relative, guardian or other suitable person. Iowa does not use emancipation. Clients coded as such have aged out of the system.
F58	Nevada	Frequency of children released to a legal relative guardian = 27 number and 87.09 percent of all guardianship.
F58	Nevada	Frequency of children released to a legal relative guardian = 27 number and 84.37 percent of all guardianship.
F58	Nevada	Frequency of children released to a legal relative guardian = 48 number and 92.30 percent of all guardianship.
F58	Nevada	Frequency of children released to a legal relative guardian = 50 number and 90.90 percent of all guardianship.
F58	Pennsylvania	-00 Total Number of Deaths: 6. Caused by: natural causes (6).
F58	Pennsylvania	-00 Total Number of Deaths: 9. Caused by: accident (1); homicide (1); natural causes (7).
F59	Florida	THIS ELEMENT IS CODED BASED ON IV-E ELIGIBILITY OF THE CHILD. IF THE PLACEMENT IS NOT ELIGIBLE, PAYMENTS WILL NOT BE IV-E."
F59	Florida	THIS ELEMENT IS CODED BASED ON IV-E ELIGIBILITY OF THE CHILD. IF THE PLACEMENT IS NOT ELIGIBLE, PAYMENTS WILL NOT BE IV-E.
F60	Florida	THIS ELEMENT IS CODED BASED ON IV-E ELIGIBILITY OF THE CHILD.
F60	Maryland	State no longer pays Title IVE Adoption Subsidies to children in foster care prior to adoption finalization therefore this element will always be coded with a zero.
F61	Florida	THIS ELEMENT IS CODED BASED ON IV-A ELIGIBILITY OF THE CHILD.
F62	Florida	THIS ELEMENT IS CODED BASED ON IV-D ELIGIBILITY OF THE CHILD.
F62	Nebraska	TITLE IV-D INFORMATION IS CURRENTLY NOT AVAILABLE.
F63	Florida	THIS ELEMENT IS CODED BASED ON TITLE XIX ELIGIBILITY OF THE CHILD.
F64	Florida	THIS ELEMENT IS CODED BASED ON SSI ELIGIBILITY OF THE CHILD.
F65	Oklahoma	35 were in care less than 30 days;
F65	Oklahoma	0 are IV-E Eligible but no payment was made and Element 59 was set to 0;
F65	Oklahoma	50 were made IV-E Ineligible by KIDS when the removal ended and the child did not have a compensable placement;
F65	Oklahoma	70 were documented with No Income;
F65	Oklahoma	168 children were forced to 1. Of these:
F65	Oklahoma	13 had no other documentation.

Foster Care 2010 version 1: Footnotes		
<i>Element</i>	<i>State</i>	<i>Note</i>
F65	Oklahoma	208 children were forced to 1. Of these:
F65	Oklahoma	97 were documented with No Income;
F65	Oklahoma	51 were made IV-E Ineligible by KIDS when the removal ended and the child did not have a compensable placement;
F65	Oklahoma	1 are IV-E Eligible but no payment was made and Element 59 was set to 0;
F65	Oklahoma	45 were in care less than 30 days;
F65	Oklahoma	14 had no other documentation.
F66	Florida	INCLUDES ONLY CHILDREN ENTERED IN THE INTEGRATED CHILD WELFARE SERVICES INFORMATION SYSTEM (ICWSIS) AND SACWIS WITH THE SAME CLIENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER.
F66	Florida	MOST RECENT MONTHLY AMOUNT PAID FOR MAINTENANCE ONLY.
F66	Iowa	The receipt of IVD payments is recorded here, however they are not included in the total, since they are applied to the State of Iowa's general fund, not to the child's individual case.
F66	Tennessee	A payment reconversion process will be implemented to bring payments that occurred in legacy systems during the SACWIS transition into TFACTS. The file will be resubmitted before 5/15/2011